Identification of Genes in the RosR Regulon of Rhizobium etli

MARK A. BITTINGER^{1,2} AND JO HANDELSMAN^{2*}

Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology¹ and Department of Plant Pathology,² University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Received 24 August 1999/Accepted 16 December 1999

RosR is a determinant of nodulation competitiveness and cell surface characteristics of *Rhizobium etli* and has sequence similarity to a family of transcriptional repressors. To understand how RosR affects these phenotypes, we mutagenized a *rosR* mutant derivative of *R. etli* strain CE3 with a mini-Tn5 that contains a promoterless *gusA* gene at one end, which acts as a transcriptional reporter. Using a mass-mating technique, we introduced *rosR* into each mutant in *trans* and screened for mutants that expressed different levels of β -glucuronidase activity in the presence and absence of *rosR*. A screen of 18,000 mutants identified 52 insertions in genes negatively regulated by RosR and 1 insertion in a gene positively regulated by RosR. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the regions flanking the insertions suggests that RosR regulates genes of diverse function, including those involved in polysaccharide production and in carbohydrate metabolism and those in a region containing sequence similarity to *virC1* and *virD3* from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Two of the mutants produced colonies with altered morphology and were more competitive in nodulation than was CE3 Δ *rosR*, the *rosR* parent. One mutant that contained an insertion in a gene with similarity to *exsH* of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* did not nodulate the plant host *Phaseolus vulgaris* without *rosR*. These results indicate that RosR directly or indirectly influences expression of diverse genes in *R. etli*, some of which affect the cell surface and nodulation competitiveness.

Competition among microorganisms determines the outcome of many biological events in nature, and yet competitiveness is poorly understood. Lack of knowledge of the mechanistic basis for competitiveness is due, in large part, to the difficulty in conducting a genetic analysis of this quantitative trait. Identification of mutants affected in competitiveness is challenging in many microbial systems due to variability and the need for a high degree of replication in mutant screens (26, 32).

The *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis provides a good model system with which to study the molecular basis of bacterial competitiveness, because nodulation competitiveness is a readily quantifiable trait. Rhizobial species establish mutualistic relationships with specific leguminous plants by initiating the development of a specialized plant organ, the root nodule, in which the bacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen. The ability of a particular rhizobial strain to establish this in the presence of other strains is known as nodulation competitiveness. Nodulation competitiveness is measured by comparing the proportions of rhizobial strains that are initially applied to the seed with the proportion of the nodules that are later occupied by each strain.

The *Rhizobium etli*-bean symbiosis is well suited to the study of the genetic basis of nodulation competitiveness. Variability can be minimized by using a genetically homogeneous plant host population, such as common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), which is highly inbred. Moreover, the nodules usually contain a pure culture of the successful competitor, which distinguishes this microbial competition from many others in which detection of competitive success is difficult.

The *rosR* gene likely encodes a regulator that plays a critical role in both nodulation competitiveness and determination of

cell surface characteristics in *R. etli* (2, 10). A *rosR* mutant was originally identified by its distinctive domed colony morphology that results from its hydrophobic cell surface. The *rosR* mutant nodulates and fixes nitrogen, but when the mutant and the parent are coinoculated in equal amounts, nearly all of the root nodules are occupied by the parent strain. A vast (approximately 17,000-fold) excess of the mutant is required to achieve equal nodule occupancy, indicating that the *rosR* mutant is drastically reduced in nodulation competitiveness.

RosR is 80% identical to MucR from *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and Ros from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (16, 24). Ros and MucR act as transcriptional repressors by binding DNA sequences in the promoter regions of regulated genes via putative zinc finger motifs (15, 24). MucR affects the production of an alternative exopolysaccharide (EPS), EPS II (galactoglucan), in place of the normal EPS I (succinoglycan) by repressing transcription of the genes involved in EPS II synthesis (24, 39). Ros represses the *virC* and *virD* operons in *A. tumefaciens*, which are involved in determining virulence, as well as *ipt*, which is involved in cytokinin production (13, 16). In addition, *ros* mutants do not produce succinoglycan, and the repressive activity of Ros in *Agrobacterium radiobacter* is enhanced by Fe³⁺ and glucose in the culture medium (11, 14).

To elucidate the role of RosR in *R. etli*, we developed a genetic screen for genes transcriptionally regulated by RosR. RosR-regulated genes were identified by randomly inserting a reporter gene throughout the genome of a *rosR* mutant of *R. etli* and then comparing reporter gene expression in the presence and absence of *rosR* in *trans*. We identified the subset of those RosR-regulated genes involved in determining cell surface characteristics and nodulation competitiveness. This study represents the first broad-based screen of the entire genome to identify genes regulated by a member of this family of transcriptional regulators.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Dept. Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin—Madison, 1630 Linden Dr., Madison, WI 53706. Phone: (608) 263-8783. Fax: (608) 262-8643. E-mail: joh @plantpath.wisc.edu.

Strain(s) or plasmid	Strain(s) or plasmid Description ^a			
Strains				
R. etli CE3	Spontaneous Sm ^r mutant of CFN42; Nx ^r Sm ^r	29		
R. etli CE3013	Tn5 derivative of CE3 unaffected in nodulation competitiveness; Nx ^r Sm ^r Km ^r	5		
R. etli CE3003	rosR::Tn5 Nx ^r Sm ^r Km ^r	2		
R. etli CE3 $\Delta rosR$	Derivative of CE3 with $rosR$ deleted; Nx ^r Sm ^r	10		
R. etli MB001 to MB065	mTn5SSgusA40 derivative of CE3 $\Delta ros R$; Nx ^r Sm ^r Sp ^r	This study		
E. coli DH5α	ϕ 80dlacZ Δ M15 Δ (lacZYA-argF)U169 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 supE44 relA1 deoR	21		
E. coli S17-1 λpir	thi pro hsdR hsd M^+ recA RP4 2-Tc::Mu-Km::Tn7Sm ^r λpir	36		
Plasmids				
pLAFR3	Broad-host-range cosmid cloning vector; Tc ^r	33		
pH8B1	1.2-kb rosR subclone in pLAFR3; Tc ^r	10		
pRK2013	Tra ⁺ helper plasmid; Km ^r	18		
pCAM140	mTn5SSgusA40 (promoterless gusA transposon) in pUT; Sm ^r Sp ^r Ap ^r	36		
pBBR1MCS-3	Broad-host-range cloning vector; Tc ^r	25		
pMB001 to pMB065	SacI fragment containing mTn5SSgusA40 insertion from corresponding MB strain cloned into pBBR1MCS-3; Sp ^r Tc ^r	This study		

TABLE 1.	Characteristics	of bacterial	strains and	plasmids
----------	-----------------	--------------	-------------	----------

^{*a*} Antibiotic resistances are abbreviated as follows: ampicillin, Ap^r; nalidixic acid, Nx^r; gentamicin, Gm^r; kanamycin, Km^r; streptomycin, Sm^r; spectinomycin, Sp^r; and tetracycline, Tc^r.

broth at 37°C, and *R. etli* strains were grown at 28°C in yeast extract mannitol (YEM) (35), tryptone-yeast extract (TY) (8), or Bergersen's synthetic medium supplemented with 1 mM methionine (BSM) (7). Solid media contained 1.5% agar, and antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: streptomycin, 200 µg/ml; spectinomycin, 100 µg/ml; nalidixic acid, 15 µg/ml; ampicillin, 50 µg/ml; tetracycline, 12 µg/ml; and kanamycin, 50 µg/ml. X-GlcA (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronic acid) was used at 50 µg/ml. Plasmid DNA was isolated from *E. coli* using the Qiaprep Kit (Qiagen Inc.). Restriction and modification enzymes were used according to the directions of the manufacturers (Promega Corp. and New England Biolabs). Plasmid swere introduced into *R. etli* either by triparental mating using the helper plasmid pRK2013 or by biparental mating using *L. coli* strain S17-1 λpir as the donor strain.

Identification of RosR-regulated insertions. *R. etli* strain CE3 Δ *rosR* was mutagenized with mTn5SSgusA40 by a previously described method (36), and transposon mutants were selected on BSM with appropriate antibiotics. Individual mutants were patched onto master plates in a grid of 48 mutants per plate (Fig. 1). These mutants were replicated onto TY without antibiotics, to avoid antibiotic carryover to the mating plates, using 48-prong metal replicators. *E. coli* S17-1 λpir (pH8B1) was grown in Luria-Bertani broth overnight, and cells were pelleted, washed twice with TY to remove antibiotics, and resuspended in the original volume of TY. Two hundred microliters of the resuspended cells was plated onto TY plates to form the lawn of the donor strain. The *R. etli* mutants were replicated onto the lawn of S17-1 λpir (pH8B1) and grown overnight at 28°C. These mating spots were then replicated onto BSM with appropriate

antibiotics to select for the *R. etli* mutants carrying pH8B1. Both collections of strains (with and without pH8B1) were replicated into 96-well microtiter plates in which each well contained 150 μ l of YEM with appropriate antibiotics. The microtiter plates were incubated at 28°C until both sets of plates contained dense cultures. The microtiter plates of the mutants with and without plasmid were replicated onto BSM containing X-GlcA and appropriate antibiotics. These plates were placed at 28°C, and corresponding colonies from each collection of strains were monitored for the appearance of a blue product over several days. Mutants that displayed different levels of accumulation of the blue color with and without pH8B1 were identified, the corresponding patch on the master plate was picked, and a single colony was isolated and retested for RosR regulation in quantitative enzyme assays.

Quantitative GUS assays. Strains to be assayed were grown for 3 days in YEM broth. Fifty microliters of the culture was used to inoculate 2 ml of YEM broth and grown overnight. Enzyme assays were carried out on the overnight cultures by using 4-methylumbelliferyl- β -glucuronide as a substrate for the β -glucuronidase (GUS) enzyme (22). Product accumulation was monitored using a TKO-100 fluorometer (Hoefer Scientific Instruments), and the bicinchoninic acid protein assay reagent (Pierce) was used to determine protein concentrations in calculating enzyme activities. Enzyme assays were repeated at least three times using independent cultures. Repression by RosR was calculated by dividing the average GUS activity of the mutant with pLAFR3 by the average GUS activity of the



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the genetic screen used to identify RosR-regulated genes.

activity of the mutant with pH8B1 by the average activity of the mutant with pLAFR3.

DNA sequencing of regions flanking the transposon insertions. Genomic DNA from each transposon mutant was cut with SacI and cloned into pBBR1MCS-3, selecting for the spectinomycin resistance gene carried on the transposon. The sequence of the flanking region was obtained by sequencing from the I end of the transposon with the primer 5'GGG AAT TCG GCC TAG GCG G3' and from the O end (the end with the promoterless gusA gene) with the primer 5'TTT CTA CAG GAC GTA ACA TAA GGG3'. The Big-dye cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) was used, and the resulting reactions were analyzed at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center. Sequences from both ends of the transposon were trimmed of transposon sequence and fused to obtain a single sequence of the insertion site. To avoid removal of any biologically relevant sequence information, we did not remove the sequences of small duplications at the site of the transposon insertion, which may have occurred as a result of the transposition event. The DNA sequences of the regions identified by more than one insertion were assembled into a single contig, which was used for sequence analysis. Sequence analysis was carried out in July 1999 using the BLASTn and BLASTx algorithms at the National Center for Biotechnology Information via the worldwide web (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) (1).

Screening of mutants for altered competitiveness. *P. vulgaris* cultivar Black Turtle seeds (Park Seed Co.) were surface disinfected by treatment with 95% ethanol for 30 s and with 1.6% sodium hypoclorite for 3 min and were planted in a sterilized sand-vermiculite (1.5:1) mixture. Bacterial strains were grown on TY plates with appropriate antibiotics, scraped from the plates, and resuspended in sterile water to an A_{600} of 0.1 (approximately 10⁸ cells/ml). Either CE3003 or CE3013 was used as a kanamycin-resistant competitor strain. Incoulum mixtures were made by mixing the strains in 1:1 ratios. One milliliter of inoculum was applied to each planted bean seed. Serial dilutions of inocula were plated to determine cell numbers. Beans were placed in a growth chamber and watered with sterile nitrogen-free plant nutrient solution as needed for 21 days (2). Each treatment was applied to six plants, eight nodules were harvested from each plant, and bacterial strains in the nodules were identified by antibiotic resistance (6). Each nodulation competitiveness assay was repeated at least twice.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The sequences from each end of the transposons in the transposon mutants were deposited in the GenBank database, and the accession numbers are indicated in Table 2.

RESULTS

Identification of RosR-regulated genes. We developed a genetic screen to identify RosR-regulated genes (Fig. 1). A derivative of *R. etli* strain CE3 with the *rosR* gene deleted, CE3 Δ *rosR*, was mutagenized with a mini-Tn5 containing a promoterless gusA gene, which encodes GUS, at one end acting as a transcriptional reporter. *rosR* was introduced in *trans* into each of the mutants by a mass-mating technique. Individual transposon mutants were screened on indicator medium for GUS expression for differences in reporter gene expression with and without *rosR* in *trans*. Quantitative GUS enzyme assays confirmed the initial mutant phenotypes (Table 2).

Among 18,000 mutants screened, 53 mutants contained insertions in RosR-regulated genes (Table 2). Fifty-two mutants carried insertions in genes negatively regulated by RosR, and one mutant had an insertion in a gene positively regulated by RosR. Of the insertions in negatively regulated genes, GUS activity ranged from 6-fold repressed in MB059 to 198-fold repressed in MB040. The GUS activity of mutant MB006 was fourfold increased in the presence of RosR.

Sequences of regions flanking transposon insertions. Analysis of the nucleotide sequences flanking the transposon insertions revealed that each mutant was the result of a unique insertion event. The insertions were in 43 different loci, and 7 loci were identified more than once. Most of the transposon insertions are oriented such that the *gusA* reporter gene is oriented in the same direction as the portion of the open reading frame (ORF) identified by sequence similarity. The exceptions are mutants MB009, MB041, MB050, and MB054. The RosR-dependent regulation in these mutants may be due to transcription from a RosR-regulated promoter downstream from and oriented convergently to the *gusA* gene. The transposon in MB039 is inserted in the opposite orientation but in the same site as that of MB041. Identification of RosR-regulated genes that affect the cell surface. Mutants MB013 and MB065 produced colonies with altered morphology. Both mutants (without *rosR* in *trans*) produce colonies on YEM agar that initially appear to be similar to those of the *rosR* mutant, CE3 Δ *rosR*, but after 4 days of growth the colonies appear to be similar to those of the wild-type strain, CE3 (Fig. 2). When *rosR* is present in *trans* in these mutants, they produce colonies that are indistinguishable from the wild type at all times during growth (data not shown). The region flanking the insertion in MB013 has no similarity to any known genes in the database, and the region flanking the insertion in MB065 is similar to the *exoY* gene from *Rhizobium* sp. (Table 2).

Identification of RosR-regulated genes involved in nodulation or nodulation competitiveness. Each mutant strain with either pLAFR3 or pH8B1 in *trans* was singly inoculated onto beans to determine if each strain could nodulate beans. All mutant strains except MB015 nodulated beans with or without *rosR* supplied in *trans*. Mutant MB015 did not nodulate beans unless *rosR* was provided in *trans*. When MB015(pLAFR3) (a *rosR* mutant) was singly inoculated onto beans, either no nodules developed or only a few small white nodules developed on the bean roots. When MB015(pH8B1) (*rosR*⁺) was singly inoculated onto beans, normal-appearing nodules developed (data not shown).

We tested the nodulation competitiveness of the mutants that have insertions in RosR-regulated genes to identify the subset of RosR-regulated genes involved in competitiveness. Each of the 52 mutant strains with pLAFR3 was coinoculated with either CE3003 (a *rosR* mutant competitor strain) or CE3013 (a marked *rosR*⁺ competitor with wild-type nodulation competitiveness) in a 1:1 ratio on beans to determine whether the insertion altered the nodulation competitiveness of the mutant. In addition, each mutant strain containing pH8B1 was coinoculated with either CE3003 or CE3013 in a 1:1 ratio to determine whether *rosR* in *trans* affected nodulation competitiveness in the mutant strains.

Mutants MB013 and MB065 (without rosR in trans) were more competitive than CE3003 (a rosR mutant competitor) (Fig. 3). For example, when MB013 (a rosR mutant) and CE3003 (a rosR mutant competitor) were coinoculated at approximately a 1:1 ratio, 83% of the nodules were occupied solely by MB013 (Fig. 3). Both MB013 and MB065 were unaffected in nodulation competitiveness when rosR was present in trans (Fig. 3). Although MB015(pLAFR3) (a rosR mutant) displayed a nodulation defect, MB015(pH8B1) ($rosR^+$) was not affected in nodulation or nodulation competitiveness. When MB015(pH8B1) was coinoculated with CE3003 at approximately a 1:1 ratio, all of the nodules were occupied by MB015(pH8B1), and when MB015(pH8B1) was coinoculated with CE3013 at approximately a 1:1 ratio, $48\% \pm 8\%$ of the nodules were occupied by MB015(pH8B1), $40\% \pm 6\%$ of the nodules were occupied by CE3013, and $12\% \pm 5\%$ of the nodules were occupied by both strains. All of the other mutant strains were unaffected in nodulation competitiveness (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

To understand the role of RosR in *R. etli*, we developed a genome-wide genetic screen to identify members of the RosR regulon. This screen was designed to identify genes that are negatively or positively regulated by RosR as well as genes directly or indirectly regulated by RosR. Based on the phenotypes of the *rosR* mutant, we expected to identify three classes of mutants: (i) mutants with an altered cell surface, (ii) mu

TABLE 2.	Characteristics	of mutants	with	insertions	in	RosR-regulated loci

Mutant	<i>rosR</i> genotype ^g	GUS activity (nmol of product min ⁻¹ mg of protein ⁻¹) (mean ± SD)	Flanking DNA sequences ^a	Sequence similarity of region flanking transposon insertion [protein, organism (probable function), significance]	Characteristics
MB001	rosR mutant	$19,748 \pm 690$	AF116349, AF116350	PssK, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> (polysaccharide polymerization protain) ^{<i>C,e</i>} $F = 2a/20$	$41 \times^h$ negative regulation
MB002	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	477 ± 81 $4,708 \pm 441$ 84 ± 6	AF116351, AF116352	Dac, bacteriophage Sf6 (O-antigen acetylase; lipopoly- saccharide modification) ^{<i>c,e</i>} , $E = 5e-15$; NodX, <i>R. legu</i> -	$56 \times$ negative regulation
MB004	rosR mutant	$3,442 \pm 466$	AF116353, AF116354	minosarum bv. vicea (sugar acetylase) ^{c,*} , $E = 1e-12$ AAB63465, <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> (O-linked GlcNAc	$12 \times$ negative regulation
MB005	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	290 ± 10 27 ± 7 4 ± 3	AF116355, AF116356	No significant similarity	$7 \times$ negative regulation
MB006	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	70 ± 4 247 ± 38	L13618	AAB07742; R. etli (putative coproporphyrinogen III oxi-	$4 \times$ positive regulation
MB009	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$1,738 \pm 64$ 105 ± 5	AF116357, AF116358	AAB90655, <i>Archaeoglobus fulgidus</i> (putative dolichol-P- glucose synthase) ^{c,f} $E = 0.002$	$17 \times$ negative regulation
MB010	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$1,512 \pm 118$ 193 ± 22	AF116359, AF116360	CscR, <i>E. coli</i> (repressor of sucrose degradation operon) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , E = $6e-05$	$8 \times$ negative regulation
MB011	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$4,336 \pm 133$ 115 ± 9	AF116361, AF116362	ORF6 in fasciation locus, <i>R. fascians</i> (may be involved in cytokinin synthesis) ^{<i>b.e.</i>} $E = 9e-25$	$38 \times$ negative regulation
MB012	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$1,363 \pm 331$ 133 ± 28	AF116363, AF116364	AAB63465, <i>C. elegans</i> (O-linked GlcNAc transferase) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , E = $le-08$	10× negative regulation (217 bp upstream of MB004 insertion)
MB013	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$22,936 \pm 3,936$ 175 ± 18	AF116365, AF116366	No significant similarity	131× negative regulation, mucoid colony morphology
MB014	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$3036 \pm 687 \\ 230 \pm 13$	AF116367, AF116368	LipR, <i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i> (transcriptional activator) ^{<i>b,e</i>} , E = 2e-05	$13 \times$ negative regulation
MB015	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$4,746 \pm 2,065$ 162 ± 7	AF116369, AF116370	ExsH, S. meliloti (endoglycanase; EPS synthesis) ^{c.e} , E = 5e-19	$29 \times$ negative regulation
MB016	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$929 \pm 87 \\ 60 \pm 4$	AF116371, AF116372	AF116463, <i>Streptomyces linocolnesis</i> (hypothetical pro- tein) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , $E = 3e-05$	$16 \times$ negative regulation
MB017	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$405 \pm 73 \\ 50 \pm 14$	AF116373, AF116374	DegT, <i>Bacillus stearothermophilus</i> (membrane-bound regulatory protein) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} $E = 4e-57$	$8 \times$ negative regulation
MB018	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$467 \pm 35 \\ 48 \pm 20$	AF116375, AF116376	NocM, A. tumefaciens (nopaline permease) ^{a,e} E = 4e-05	$10 \times$ negative regulation
MB019	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$13,005 \pm 3,157$ 569 ± 62	AF116377, AF116378	OtsA, <i>Rhizobium</i> sp. strain NGR234 (trehalose-phosphate synthase) ^{d,e} E, = 5e-06;	$23 \times$ negative regulation
MB020	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$6,288 \pm 171$ 116 ± 8	AF116379, AF116380	No significant similarity	$54 \times$ negative regulation
MB021	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$3,220 \pm 502 \\ 45 \pm 10$	AF116381, AF116382	ExoB, S. meliloti (UDP-glucose epimerase; EPS synthe- sis) ^{c,e} , $E = 4e-59$; AAC07360, Aquifex aeolicus (cellulose synthase) ^{b,e} , $E = 2e-08$	$72 \times$ negative regulation
MB022	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$4,928 \pm 376$ 338 ± 17	AF116383, AF116384	No significant similarity	$15 \times$ negative regulation
MB023	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$2,690 \pm 710$ 80 ± 9	AF116385, AF116386	No significant similarity	$34 \times$ negative regulation
MB024	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$1,130 \pm 275 \\ 62 \pm 33$	AF116387, AF116388	No significant similarity	$18 \times$ negative regulation
MB025	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$2,668 \pm 247$ 71 ± 3	AF116389, AF116390	ExoB; S. meliloti (UDP-glucose epimerase; EPS synthe- sis) ^{d,e} , E = 4e-59; AAC07360, A. aeolicus (cellulose syn- thase) ^{c,e} , E = 2e-08	38× negative regulation (602 bp downstream of MB021 insertion)
MB026	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$2,112 \pm 423$ 77 ± 4	AF116391, AF116392	No significant similarity	$28 \times$ negative regulation
MB027	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$11,674 \pm 3,490$ 542 ± 75	AF116393, AF116394	ORF2 in <i>picA</i> locus, <i>A. tumefaciens</i> (affects cell surface changes due to plant cell extracts) ^{<i>b.e.</i>} $E = 3e-14$	$22 \times$ negative regulation
MB029	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$3,294 \pm 853$ 327 ± 41	AF116395, AF116396	No significant similarity	$10 \times$ negative regulation
MB031	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$2,796 \pm 1,127$ 335 ± 24	AF116397, AF116398	No significant similarity	8× negative regulation (49 bp down- stream of MB029 insertion)
MB032	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$19,776 \pm 6,851$ 301 ± 32	AF116399, AF116400	PlyA, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> (polysaccharidase) ^{<i>b.e.</i>} , $E = 3e-11$	$66 \times$ negative regulation
MB033	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$1,576 \pm 320 \\ 71 \pm 16$	AF116401, AF116402	No significant similarity	$22 \times$ negative regulation
MB034	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$ 1,885 \pm 590 \\ 171 \pm 14 $	AF116403, AF116404	CbbZ, <i>Rhodobacter sphaeroides</i> (phosphoglycolate phosphatase) ^{<i>b.e.</i>} , $E = 4e-11$	$11 \times$ negative regulation
MB035	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$8,728 \pm 2,849$ 398 ± 100	AF116405, AF116406	Y4JH, <i>Rhizobium</i> sp. strain NGR234 (hypothetical protein on Sym plasmid) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , $E = 5e-44$	$22 \times$ negative regulation
MB036	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$1,655 \pm 397 \\ 84 \pm 17$	AF116407, AF116408	LipR, <i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i> (transcriptional activator) ^{<i>b,e</i>} , E = 2e-05	20× negative regulation (312 bp downstream of MB014 insertion)
MB037	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$3,262 \pm 938$ 63 ± 30	AF116409, AF116410	ExoB, S. meliloti (UDP-glucose epimerase; EPS synthe- sis) ^{d,e} , E = 4e-59; AAC07360, A. aeolicus (cellulose syn- thase) ^{e,e} , E = 2e-08	51× negative regulation (1,203 bp downstream of MB021 insertion)
MB038	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$6,190 \pm 2,527$ 561 ± 67	AF116411, AF116412	CelR2, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> bv. <i>trifolii</i> (regulator of genes for cellulose synthesis) ^{c,e} , $E = 2e-22$	$11 \times$ negative regulation
MB039	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$721 \pm 256 \\ 7 \pm 2$	AF116413, AF116414	PlyA, R. leguminosarum (polysaccharide) ^{b,e} , $E = 3e-11$	$105 \times$ negative regulation (164 bp upstream of MB032)
MB040	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$6,007 \pm 3,312$ 30 ± 6	AF116415, AF116416	PlyA, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> (polysaccharidase) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , $E = 3e-11$	198× negative regulation (302 bp downstream of MB032 insertion)
MB041	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$2,696 \pm 185 \\ 75 \pm 16$	AF116417, AF116418	PlyA, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> (polysaccharidase) ^{$b.f$} , E = 3e-11	36× negative regulation (164 bp upstream of MB032 insertion)

Continued on following page

Mutant	<i>rosR</i> genotype ^g	GUS activity (nmol of product min ⁻¹ mg of protein ⁻¹) (mean ± SD)	Flanking DNA sequences ^a	Sequence similarity of region flanking transposon insertion [protein, organism (probable function), significance]	Characteristics
MB042	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,\!063 \pm 1,\!341 \\ 194 \pm \!54 \end{array}$	AF116419, AF116420	ORF in <i>picA</i> locus, <i>A. tumefaciens</i> (affects cell surface changes due to plant cell extracts) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , $E = 3e-14$	52× negative regulation (1,012 bp upstream of MB027 insertion)
MB043	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$4,597 \pm 895 \\ 34 \pm 13$	AF116421, AF116422	VirCl, A. tumefaciens (virulence determinant) ^{b,f} , $E = 8e-27$; VirD3, A. tumefaciens (unknown function) ^{b,e} $E = 0.36$	$134 \times$ negative regulation
MB045	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$9,556 \pm 1,291$ 111 ± 22	AF116423, AF116424	HelE, Dictyostelium discoideum (similar to helicase-like transcription factor) ^{b,e} E = 4e-04; SlpB, Methanosarcina mazei (surface layer protein B) ^{b,e} E = 7e-04; PlyA, R. leguminosarum (polysacharidase) ^{d,e} E = 0.013	$86 \times$ negative regulation
MB046	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$\begin{array}{c} 18,\!870 \pm 1,\!075 \\ 162 \pm 26 \end{array}$	AF116425, AF116426	Serralysin, <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. (metalloprotease) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , E = 1e-07; AlgE1, <i>Azotobacter vinelandii</i> (mannuronan C-5-enimerase) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} E = 4e-06	67× negative regulation
MB047	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	$3,079 \pm 1,165$ 75 ± 12	AF116427, AF116428	No significant similarity	$41 \times$ negative regulation
MB048	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$3,066 \pm 286$ 263 ± 10	AF116429, AF116430	BAA17459, <i>Synechocystis</i> sp. (hypothetical protein) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , E = 9e-50	$12 \times$ negative regulation
MB049	<i>rosR</i> mutant <i>rosR</i> ⁺	$2,456 \pm 168$ 277 ± 30	AF116431, AF116432	No significant similarity	$9 \times$ negative regulation
MB050	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$3,514 \pm 619$ 265 ± 71	AF116433, AF116434	METRS, Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum (methionyl-tRNA synthetase) ^{c,f} , $E = 4e-29$	$13 \times$ negative regulation
MB051	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$1,442 \pm 186$ 18 ± 11	AF116435, AF116436	No significant similarity	$82 \times$ negative regulation
MB052	rosR mutant	$1,101 \pm 218$	AF116437, AF116438	AF116463, Streptomyces linocolnesis (hypothetical protein) ^{c,e} , $E = 3e-05$	7× negative regulation (647 bp downstream of MB016 insertion)
MB054	rosR mutant rosR ⁺	155 ± 11 $3,427 \pm 904$ 521 ± 83	AF116439, AF116440	CAA94113; C. elegans (hypothetical protein) ^{c,f} , $E = 0.015$; CAA20616, S. coelicolor (putative lyase) d_{f} , $E = 0.077$	$7 \times$ negative regulation
MB055	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$10,025 \pm 713$ 1.250 ± 79	AF116441 AF116442	PrsD, <i>R. leguminosarum</i> (ATP-binding cassette transporter) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} $E = 3e-86$	$8 \times$ negative regulation
MB056	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$8,995 \pm 2,012$ 1 493 + 103	AF116443, AF116444	No significant similarity	$6 \times$ negative regulation
MB057	<i>rosR</i> mutant rosR ⁺	$1,478 \pm 289$ 159 ± 14	AF116445, AF116446	No significant similarity	$9 \times$ negative regulation
MB059	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$3,852 \pm 1,448$ 617 ± 54	AF116447, AF116448	No significant similarity	$6 \times$ negative regulation
MB060	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$4,365 \pm 1,207$ 69 ± 11	AF116449, AF116450	Gdh, <i>Pantoea citrea</i> (glucose dehydrogenase) ^{<i>c,e</i>} , E = $1e-24$	$63 \times$ negative regulation
MB065	$rosR$ mutant $rosR^+$	$ 19,362 \pm 1,718 \\ 164 \pm 25 $	AF116451, AF116452	ExoY, <i>Rhizobium</i> sp. (EPS synthesis) ^{<i>c.e.</i>} , $E = 1e-51$	118× negative regulation, mucoid colony morphology

TABLE 2—Continued

^a GenBank accession numbers are indicated, with the first number indicating the sequence from upstream of the gusA gene.

^b The transposon insertion is upstream of the region with similarity to the database member.

^c The transposon insertion is within the region with similarity to the database member.

^d The transposon insertion is downstream of the region with similarity to the database member.

^e gusA is in the same orientation as the region with similarity to the database member.

 f_{gusA} is in the opposite orientation as the region with similarity to the database member.

^g rosR mutant, pLAFR3; rosR⁺, pH8B1.

^h 41×, 41-fold.

tants with altered nodulation competitiveness, and (iii) mutants with insertions in other RosR-regulated genes. All three classes were identified in the screen.

We identified 43 different RosR-regulated loci, and 7 of the loci were identified by more than one insertion. Two of the RosR-regulated genes affect both the cell surface and nodulation competitiveness of *R. etli*. Sixteen of the insertions are in regions with no significant sequence similarity to proteins in the sequence databases. Only one gene was positively regulated by RosR.

In the absence of *rosR* in *trans*, mutants MB013 and MB065 both produce colonies indistinguishable from the wild type after extended growth on solid media. The region flanking the insertion in MB013 shows no similarity to known genes, while the insertion in MB065 is in a region with similarity to *exoY*. ExoY is an essential part of the succinoglycan biosynthesis pathway, likely acting as a sugar transferase, and the RosR homolog, MucR, binds upstream of *exoY* in *S. meliloti* (9, 30). Assuming that the hydrophobic surface of the *rosR* mutant is due to derepression of one or more RosR-regulated genes, it is consistent that insertions in some RosR-regulated genes lead to a reversion to hydrophilic cell surfaces.

The two mutants with altered colony morphology were more

competitive than the *rosR* mutant strain. Derepression of many RosR-regulated genes may lead to the great decrease in competitiveness observed in the *rosR* mutant; therefore, an insertion in any one of those genes would increase the competitiveness of a *rosR* mutant. The correlation between the subset of RosR-regulated genes that affect the cell surface properties and the subset that affect nodulation competitiveness suggests that the altered competitiveness of the *rosR* mutant is due to the dramatic changes in cell surface characteristics.

Mutant MB015 without *rosR* in *trans* nodulated poorly, yet when *rosR* was present in *trans*, the mutant nodulated normally and was unaffected in nodulation competitiveness. The insertion in MB015 is in a region with similarity to *exsH* from *S. meliloti*. ExsH is an endoglycanase that cleaves high-molecular-weight EPS into lower-molecular-weight forms (37). In *S. meliloti*, low-molecular-weight forms of EPS (either EPS I or EPS II) are important for establishing a successful symbiosis, probably acting as a signal molecule to the plant host (4, 20). Interestingly, *S. meliloti mucR* mutants do not produce low-molecular-weight EPS II, and when *mucR* mutants are blocked in EPS I production, they do not nodulate the plant host (20). If a low-molecular-weight polysaccharide signal is a common theme in all rhizobial interactions, it is possible that this gene



FIG. 2. Colony morphologies of mutants MB013 and MB065 in comparison to CE3 and CE3 $\Delta rosR$. The same YEM plate is shown at 3 days (A) and 4 days (B) after inoculation.

is needed in *R. etli* to produce such a signal molecule in the *rosR* mutant background. Further studies need to confirm that it is indeed the gene with similarity to *exsH* that is responsible for this phenotype and that it is not due to polar effects from the insertion on other downstream genes.

We identified many other RosR-regulated genes that did not affect colony morphology or nodulation competitiveness, and sequence analysis of the regions flanking the insertions suggests hypotheses about the functions of some of these RosRregulated genes. We identified genes that may be involved in polysaccharide and carbohydrate metabolism, genes that may be involved in survival in the rhizosphere, and genes similar to those that are regulated by Ros in *A. tumefaciens* (Table 2).

We identified genes that encode proteins with similarity to ExoB, ExoY, ExsH, PrsD, PssK, and PlyA, which are involved in EPS synthesis in other rhizobial species (12, 19, 30, 37). PrsD is a component of a secretion system involved in the export of the ExsH and PlyA proteins in *Rhizobium meliloti* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, respectively (19, 38). Mutant MB002 has an insertion in a region that encodes a protein with similarity to a sugar acetylase. Other RosR-regulated genes encoding proteins with similarity to glucose dehydrogenase, trehalose-phosphate synthase, and a transcriptional repressor of the sucrose degradation operon may also be involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Altered expression of these genes in *rosR* mutants is consistent with the activities of Ros in *A. tumefaciens* and MucR in *R. meliloti*, both of which affect EPS production.

Other mutants have insertions in genes that may affect bacterial fitness in the rhizosphere. Cellulose synthesis is important for *A. tumefaciens* attachment to its plant host (27), and mutants MB025 and MB037 contain insertions in a region with similarity to cellulose synthase genes, while the insertion in MB038 is in a region with similarity to *celR2*, a positive regulator of the genes involved in cellulose synthesis in *R. leguminosarum* by. trifolii (3). MB042 has an insertion just upstream of a region with similarity to an ORF in the *picA* locus of *A. tumefaciens*, which is involved in altering the cell surface characteristics of the bacterium in response to compounds in plant cell extracts (31). Mutants MB018 and MB019 have insertions adjacent to regions with similarity to genes involved in the synthesis and transport of opines, carbon and nitrogen sources whose production is symbiotically regulated in *S. meliloti* (28).

Our identification of RosR-regulated genes suggests that the role of RosR in R. etli is similar to that of Ros in A. tumefaciens. Like Ros, RosR is involved in regulation of a region with similarity to vir genes and may affect cytokinin and heme synthesis (11, 13, 16). The predicted product of the ORF upstream from and oriented divergently to the gusA reporter gene in MB043 has a high level of conservation with the VirC1 sequence, containing 87% amino acid identity over 62 amino acids, while the ORF downstream from and in the same orientation as the gusA gene encodes a predicted protein that is 44% identical over 47 amino acids to VirD3. The insertion in MB006 is within an ORF likely to encode a coproporphyrinogen III oxidase, which is involved in the formation of heme (23). MB011 has the transposon insertion in a region with similarity to a gene that may be involved in cytokinin production and virulence in the plant pathogen Rhodococcus fascians, and rhizobial production of cytokinin is implicated in Rhizobium-induced leaf curl syndrome of pigeon pea (17, 34).

Our dissection of the RosR regulon has revealed that RosR affects expression of many functionally diverse genes in *R. etli*, and it seems likely that the dominant role of RosR is to influence gene expression by transcriptional repression. Surprisingly, the role of RosR shares features of that of Ros in *A. tumefaciens*, regulating homologs of *vir* genes as well as affecting polysaccharide production. The presence of *vir* homologs in a rhizobial species has not been previously reported, and preliminary sequence obtained from pMB043 indicates that



FIG. 3. Nodulation competitiveness of mutants MB013 and MB065. Each graph represents a separate treatment. The relative percentage of each strain in the inoculum is indicated by the bar on the left, and the relative percentage of nodules later occupied by each strain (or of nodules occupied by both strains [double occup.]) is indicated by the bar on the right. The treatments that demonstrate the increase in competitiveness of MB013 and MB065 are highlighted by heavy outlining. Standard errors are indicated adjacent to each column division.

homologs of other *vir* genes are also present on pMB043 (M. A. Bittinger, unpublished data). As more bacterial genomes are sequenced, there is an in-

creasing need to link an understanding of gene expression with

sequence information. DNA sequence alone can be used to

predict gene function, but delineation of pathways of coordi-

nate regulation requires functional genetic approaches. Gene-

tic approaches such as we employed in this study allow us to

begin to dissect complex regulatory pathways that will comple-

ment the anticipated full genome sequence of a rhizobial spe-

cies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Patrick Masson, Michelle Rondon, and Susan West for critically reviewing the manuscript.

This work was funded by U.S. Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-96ER20248 and by the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences at the University of Wisconsin—Madison.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403–410.
- Araujo, R. S., E. A. Robleto, and J. Handelsman. 1994. A hydrophobic mutant of *Rhizobium etli* altered in nodulation competitiveness and growth in

the rhizosphere. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60:1430-1436.

- Ausmees, N., H. Jonsson, S. Hoglund, H. Ljunggren, and M. Lindberg. 1999. Structural and putative regulatory genes involved in cellulose synthesis in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii*. Microbiology 145:1253–1262.
- Battisti, L., J. C. Lara, and J. A. Leigh. 1992. Specific oligosaccharide form of the *Rhizobium meliloti* exopolysaccharide promotes nodule invasion in alfalfa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:5625–5629.
- Beattie, G. A., and J. Handelsman. 1993. Evaluation of a strategy for identifying nodulation competitiveness genes in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *phaseoli*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 139:529–538.
- Beattie, G. A., and J. Handelsman. 1989. A rapid method for the isolation and identification of *Rhizobium* from root nodules. Microbiol. Methods 9: 29–34.
- Bergersen, F. J. 1961. Growth of *Rhizobium* on synthetic medium. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 14:349–360.
- Beringer, J. E. 1974. R-factor transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar phaseoli. J. Gen. Microbiol. 84:188–198.
- Bertram-Drogatz, P. A., S. Ruberg, A. Becker, and A. Pühler. 1997. The regulatory protein MucR binds to a short DNA region located upstream of the *mucR* coding region in *Rhizobium meliloti*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 254:529– 538.
- Bittinger, M. A., J. L. Milner, B. J. Saville, and J. Handelsman. 1997. RosR, a determinant of nodulation competitiveness in *Rhizobium etli*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 10:180–186.
- Brightwell, G., H. Hussain, A. Tiburtius, K. H. Yeoman, and A. W. B. Johnston. 1995. Pleiotropic effects of regulatory *ros* mutants of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* and their interaction with Fe and glucose. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 8:747–754.
- Buendia, A. M., B. Enekel, R. Koplin, K. Niehaus, W. Arnold, and A. Pühler. 1991. The *Rhizobium meliloti exoZ/exoB* fragment of megaplasmid 2: ExoB functions as a UDP-glucose 4-epimerase and ExoZ shows homology to NodX of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae strain TOM. Mol. Microbiol. 5:1519–1530.
- Chou, A. Y., J. Archdeacon, and C. I. Kado. 1998. Agrobacterium transcriptional regulator Ros is a prokaryotic zinc finger protein that regulates the plant oncogene *ipt*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:5293–5298.
- Close, T. J., P. M. Rogowsky, C. I. Kado, S. C. Winans, M. F. Yanofsky, and E. W. Nester. 1987. Dual control of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Ti plasmid virulence genes. J. Bacteriol. 169:5113–5118.
- Close, T. J., R. C. Tait, and C. I. Kado. 1985. Regulation of Ti plasmid virulence genes by a chromosomal locus of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. J. Bacteriol. 164:774–781.
- Cooley, M. B., M. R. D'Souza, and C. I. Kado. 1991. The virC and virD operons of the Agrobacterium Ti plasmid are regulated by the ros chromosomal gene: analysis of the cloned ros gene. J. Bacteriol. 173:2608–2616.
- Crespi, M., D. Vereecke, W. Temmerman, M. Van Montagu, and J. Desomer. 1994. The *fas* operon of *Rhodococcus fascians* encodes new genes required for efficient fasciation of host plants. J. Bacteriol. **176**:2492–2501.
- Figurski, D. H., and D. R. Helinski. 1979. Replication of an origin-containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided *in trans.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:1648–1652.
- Finnie, C., A. Zorreguieta, N. M. Hartley, and J. A. Downie. 1998. Characterization of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* exopolysaccharide glycanases that are secreted via a type I exporter and have a novel heptapeptide repeat motif. J. Bacteriol. 180:1691–1699.
- Gonzalez, J. E., B. L. Reuhs, and G. C. Walker. 1996. Low molecular weight EPS II of *Rhizobium meliloti* allows nodule invasion in *Medicago sativa*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:8636–8641.
- Hanahan, D. 1983. Transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. 166:557–580.

- Jefferson, R. A., and K. J. Wilson. 1991. The GUS gene fusion system, p. B14/1-B14/33. *In S. Gelvin, R. Schilperoort, and D. P. Verma (ed.), Plant molecular biology manual. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.*
- Keithly, J. H., and K. D. Nadler. 1983. Protoporphyrin formation in *Rhizo-bium japonicum*. J. Bacteriol. 154:838–845.
- Keller, M., A. Roxlau, W. M. Weng, M. Schmidt, J. Quandt, K. Niehaus, D. Jording, W. Arnold, and A. Pühler. 1995. Molecular analysis of the *Rhizobium meliloti mucR* gene regulating the biosynthesis of the exopolysaccharides succinoglycan and galactoglucan. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 8:267–277.
- Kovach, M. E., P. H. Elzer, D. S. Hill, G. T. Robertson, M. A. Farris, R. M. I. Roop, and K. M. Peterson. 1995. Four new derivatives of the broad-hostrange cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene 166:175–176.
- Lee, K. H., and E. G. Ruby. 1994. Competition between *Vibrio fischeri* strains during initiation and maintenance of a light organ symbiosis. J. Bacteriol. 176:1985–1991.
- Matthysse, A. G. 1983. Role of bacterial cellulose fibrils in Agrobacterium tumefaciens infection. J. Bacteriol. 154:906–915.
- Murphy, P. J., N. Heycke, S. P. Trenz, P. Ratet, F. J. De Bruijn, and J. Schell. 1988. Synthesis of an opine-like compound, a rhizopine, in alfalfa nodules is symbiotically regulated. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:9133–9137.
- Noel, K. D., A. Sanchez, L. Fernandez, J. Leemans, and M. A. Cevallos. 1984. *Rhizobium phaseoli* symbiotic mutants with transposon Tn5 insertions. J. Bacteriol. 158:148–155.
- Reuber, T. L., and G. C. Walker. 1993. Biosynthesis of succinoglycan, a symbiotically important exopolysaccharide of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Cell 74: 269–280.
- Rong, L. J., S. J. Karcher, and S. B. Gelvin. 1991. Genetic and molecular analyses of *picA*, a plant-inducible locus on the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* chromosome. J. Bacteriol. 173:5110–5120.
- 32. Simons, M., A. J. van der Bij, I. Brand, L. A. de Weger, C. A. Wijffelman, and B. J. J. Lugtenberg. 1996. Gnotobiotic system for studying rhizosphere colonization by plant growth-promoting *Pseudomonas* bacteria. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 9:600–607.
- 33. Staskawicz, B., D. Dahlbeck, N. Keen, and C. Napoli. 1987. Molecular characterization of cloned avirulence genes from race 0 and race 1 of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. glycinea. J. Bacteriol. 169:5789–5794.
- 34. Upadhyaya, N. M., C. W. Parker, D. S. Letham, K. F. Scott, and P. J. Dart. 1991. Evidence for cytokinin involvement in *Rhizobium* (IC3342)-induced leaf curl syndrome of pigeon-pea (*Cajanus cajan* Millsp.). Plant Physiol. 95: 1019–1025.
- Wacek, T. J., and W. J. Brill. 1976. Simple, rapid assay for screening nitrogen-fixing ability in soybean. Crop Sci. 16:518–523.
- Wilson, K. J., A. Sessitch, J. C. Corbo, K. E. Giller, A. D. L. Akkermans, and R. A. Jefferson. 1995. β-Glucuronidase (GUS) transposons for ecological and genetic studies of rhizobia and other Gram-negative bacteria. Microbiology 141:1691–1705.
- York, G. M., and G. C. Walker. 1998. The *Rhizobium meliloti* ExoK and ExsH glycanases specifically depolymerize nascent succinoglycan chains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:4912–4917.
- York, G. M., and G. C. Walker. 1997. The *Rhizobium meliloti exoK* gene and prsD/prsE/exsH genes are components of independent degradative pathways which contribute to production of low-molecular-weight succinoglycan. Mol. Microbiol. 25:117–134.
- Zhan, H., S. B. Levery, C. C. Lee, and J. A. Leigh. 1989. A second exopolysaccharide of *Rhizobium meliloti* strain SU47 that can function in root nodule invasion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3055–3059.